Health Literacy in Primary Care Practice

LAUREN HERSH, MD; BROOKE SALZMAN, MD; and DANIELLE SNYDERMAN, MD, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Health literacy includes a set of skills needed to make appropriate health decisions and successfully navigate the health care system. These skills include reading, writing, numeracy, communication, and, increasingly, the use of electronic technology. National data indicate that more than one-third of U.S. adults have limited health literacy, which contributes to poor health outcomes and affects patient safety, and health care access and quality. Although there are a number of tools that screen for limited health literacy, they are primarily used for research. Routinely screening patients for health literacy has not been shown to improve outcomes and is not recommended. Instead, multiple professional organizations recommend using universal health literacy precautions to provide understandable and accessible information to all patients, regardless of their literacy or education levels. This includes avoiding medical jargon, breaking down information or instructions into small concrete steps, limiting the focus of a visit to three key points or tasks, and assessing for comprehension. Additionally, printed information should be written at or below a fifth- to sixth-grade reading level. Visual aids, graphs, or pictures can enhance patient understanding, as can more concrete presentation of numerical information. (*Am Fam Physician*. 2015;92(2):118-124. Copyright © 2015 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

See related editorial on p. 84.

CME This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for continuing medical education (CME). See CME Quiz Questions on page 92.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliation.

ealth literacy is the degree to which individuals have the capacity to obtain, process, and understand basic health information and services.¹ The wide range of skills that comprise health literacy and influence a patient's ability to navigate the health care system and make appropriate decisions about his or her health include reading, writing, numeracy, communication, and, increasingly, the use of electronic technology.²

More than one-third of U.S. adults, an estimated 80 million persons, have limited health literacy,^{2,3} making it more difficult for them to read, understand, and apply health information (e.g., wording on medication bottles, food labels, appointment slips, discharge instructions, informed consent documents, medical forms, insurance applications, medical bills, and health education materials). Although U.S. adults on average read at an eighth-grade level, more than 75% of patient education materials are written at a high school or college reading level.⁴

Physicians often overlook health literacy in routine patient care, overestimating patients' health literacy skills and incorrectly assuming that health information and instructions have been understood.^{5,6} In addition, most patients fail to identify their own deficiencies in comprehension and overestimate their recall of important information.⁷

Numerous policy and advocacy organizations have recognized the negative effects of limited health literacy on patient safety and the quality of health care. As a result, health literacy has evolved from a poorly recognized "silent epidemic" to a major issue in health policy and reform.^{3,8}

Epidemiology

The best population data on health literacy in the United States come from the 2003 National Assessment of Adult Literacy (NAAL).² Commissioned by the U.S. Department of Education, the NAAL categorizes health literacy into four tiers reflecting the ability of patients to undertake increasingly complex tasks within the health care system. *Table 1* presents an overview of these tiers.²

Using the NAAL designations, 36% of the U.S. adult population has a basic or below basic health literacy and therefore may face serious challenges in understanding and acting on health information. Even patients categorized as having intermediate health literacy may have difficulty accomplishing tasks essential for managing their health (e.g., correctly determining from instructions on a prescription bottle what time to take the medication based on mealtimes).²

Health Literacy

SORT: KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACTICE

Clinical recommendation	Evidence rating	References
Use universal health literacy precautions with all patients, regardless of their literacy or education levels.	С	10, 30, 31
Prioritize and limit information to three key points for each visit.	С	30
Use the teach-back method to assess patient comprehension of information.	С	10, 30, 36
Simplify forms and offer assistance with form completion.	С	10, 30

patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to http://www.aafp.org/afpsort.

Limited health literacy is more common in Hispanic (66%), black (58%), and American Indian and Alaska Native (48%) populations, and among those 65 years and older (59%). Limited literacy rates are also higher among those with less education, those who did not speak English before starting school, and those living below the poverty level.² The NAAL also identified approximately 11 million adults as nonliterate in English, of whom 4 million could not complete the NAAL because of language barriers.

It is also important to recognize that health literacy skills can vary within one individual and change over time. Performance on health literacy tasks may be influenced by emotional state, acute pain or illness, vision and hearing deficits, and cognitive impairment.⁹

Implications of Limited Health Literacy

Numerous studies with varying methodological strengths have shown that deficiencies in health literacy contribute to poor health outcomes (higher mortality rates and worse overall health status), health disparities, and increased costs.^{3,8,10} The implications of health literacy (*Table 2*^{3,8,11-22}) are amplified in an increasingly complex and fragmented health care system that places growing

demands on patients for self-care, care coordination, and system navigation. Shorter hospital stays, polypharmacy, multiple health care providers, and the rising prevalence of chronic disease all contribute to the increasing role that patients have in managing their own care.¹⁰ With this increased responsibility, limited health literacy has been associated with decreased cancer screening and immunization rates, more emergency department use, and higher rates of medication errors.^{3,8,11-22}

Assessment

Although there are several tools to assess health literacy *(Table 3)*, they are mainly used in research.²³⁻²⁶ Studies have found that patients will accept health literacy screening

Tier	Percentage of the U.S. population	Examples of key abilities	Associated health tasks
Below basic	14	Able to locate only straightforward pieces of information in short, simple texts or documents; some patients have even less ability because of nonliteracy in English	Find the date on a hospital appointment slip; identify what is permissible to drink before a medical test based on a short set of instructions
Basic	22	Find more complex information in short texts and simple documents that are somewhat longer and more complex than those at the below basic level	Give 2 reasons a person with no symptoms of a specific disease should be tested for the disease using information from a patient education handout
Intermediate	53	Interpret or apply information presented in complex graphs, tables, or other health-related texts or documents	Determine a healthy weight range for a person of a specified height, based on a graph that relates heigh and weight to body mass index; identify substances that may have an adverse interaction with an over- the-counter drug using information on a drug label
Proficient	12	Draw abstract inferences, comparing or contrasting multiple pieces of information within complex texts or documents, or apply abstract or complicated information from texts or documents	Evaluate applicability of a legal document in a specifi health care situation; calculate an employee's share of annual health insurance costs using a table that shows how the cost varies based on income and family size

Table 1. Overview of the NAAL Health Literacy Tiers

NAAL = National Assessment of Adult Literacy.

Information from reference 2.

if presented in an appropriate, sensitive fashion and that such screening does not engender feelings of shame or mistrust.^{27,28} However, because there is no evidence that assessing health literacy skills in practice settings improves outcomes, it is not recommended as part of routine clinical care.²⁹

Interventions

Research on health literacy interventions has shown inconsistent results about the extent to which they improve long-term health outcomes.^{3,8,19} However, systematic reviews of interventions designed to improve different aspects of health literacy show an overall benefit of clear health communication strategies to optimize patient care.^{3,8,18} These strategies entail improving verbal and written approaches, along with increased sensitivity to how numerical data are presented (*Table 4*).^{3,8,10,16,30-33}

Organizations such as the American Medical Association and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality endorse adopting universal health literacy precautions (i.e., using easy-to-understand concepts and terms with all patients instead of focusing only on those with low literacy) to minimize the risk that an individual patient will not understand the information they are given.^{10,30,31}

Table 2. Implications of Limited Health Literacy

Implications	Research findings
Health system utilizatio	n
Access to care	Mixed results for association with number of physician visits
Access to insurance	Low parental health literacy associated with no health insurance for children
Emergency care and hospitalization	Increased use of emergency care, increased hospitalization rates
Health care costs	Studies have mixed results regarding differences in costs of health care by health literacy level
Personal health care	
Adherence to care recommendations	Studies have mixed results depending on adherence measure and disease state
Adherence to healthy lifestyle	Studies have mixed results
Interpreting health information	Difficulty understanding nutrition labels or a standard appointment slip
Medication use	Difficulty identifying medications, interpreting dosing, and administering medications correctly; higher risk of misunderstanding medication labels/directions
Preventive services	Decreased rates of mammography, influenza vaccina- tion, and screening for cervical and colon cancers
Health outcomes	
Chronic disease outcomes	Studies have mixed results for chronic disease in general and for specific chronic diseases
Health status	Lower overall health status among older adults
Mental health outcomes	Higher rates of depression
Mortality	Higher mortality rates in older adults

Information from references 3, 8, and 11 through 22.

Table 3. Commonly Used Health Literacy Assessment Tools

Tool	Measurement	Administration time (minutes)	Number of items
Newest Vital Sign	Reading and applying information	2 to 6	6 questions based on information from a nutritional label
Rapid Estimate of Adult Literacy in Medicine	Word recognition and pronunciation; provides an approximate grade level for reading ability	3	66 medical terms to be read out loud
Short Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults	Reading comprehension and numeracy skills	7 to 12	2 prose passages and 4 items assessing numerical ability
Single Item Literacy Screener	Identification of patients who need help with reading health-related information	1 to 2	1 question: How often do you need to have someone help you when you read instructions, pamphlets, or other written material from your doctor or pharmacy?
Test of Functional Health Literacy in Adults	Reading comprehension and numeracy skills	22	3 prose passages followed by a 50-item reading comprehension section

Information from references 23 through 26.

Component	Strategies	Tools
Verbal communication	Use plain, nonmedical language Use common words and/or words the patient uses in conversation Slow down; speak clearly and at a moderate pace Limit content: prioritize and limit information to 3 key points Repeat key points Confirm whether the patient understands the information given	 Teach-back: Ask patients to explain a concept or plan in their own words (this is not a test of patient knowledge, but a reflection of how well the concept or plan was explained) Example: "I reviewed options for colon cancer screening with you today. To make sure I explained these options clearly, can you tell me in your own words what these options are?" Chunk and check: After giving each key point, stop to solicit questions and have the patient repeat the material back to you
Written communication	Use easy-to-read materials that are at a 5th- to 6th-grade reading level or lower Use short, simple sentences Avoid words of more than two syllables Limit content to key/most relevant information Limit medical jargon, and define terms Chunk information into clearly marked sections; bulleted lists are better than blocks of text Review health education materials with the patient, and underline or circle key points On forms, use check boxes instead of asking patients to write answers Include "don't know" options Bold key words on forms	Electronic assessments: Use electronic tools to assess reading level of written material; a number of tools are available at http://www. readabilityformulas.com/free-readability- formula-tests.php
Visual aids	Use visual aids; include simple pictures and avoid unnecessary details Use models Use photonovelas (easy-to-read stories formatted like a comic book, but using photographs instead of drawings) Use videos	Online videos: Most patients have access to the Internet; there are numerous online videos (e.g., YouTube) illustrating important and common patient education topics; an example of a humorous education video on colonoscopy preparation is available at https:// www.youtube.com/watch?v=H7V5bmyk8BU continues

Table 4. Strategies for Promoting Health Literacy in Clinical Practice

Recommendations for Enhancing Communication with Patients VERBAL COMMUNICATION

Clinician-patient communication is a key component of patient care. Patients understand and retain about one-half of what is discussed in clinical encounters, and many do not feel comfortable asking for clarification or reiteration.^{34,35} With this in mind, the following strategies can be employed to promote clear and effective communication.

Avoid Making Assumptions About Language Preferences or Literacy Level. A patient's preferred language should be ascertained from the patient. If a clinician is unable to communicate effectively in the patient's preferred language, a trained interpreter should be used rather than relying on family members or untrained bilingual staff. Because literacy level cannot be determined by appearances, clinicians should never assume a patient's level of understanding.^{10,30}

Use Plain, Nonmedical Language. Clinicians often use medical jargon that patients do not understand,

particularly during critical moments of patient education or while developing a treatment plan.^{36,37} Clinicians should speak slowly and clearly, and strive to mirror a patient's vocabulary.^{33,38} Even patients with high literacy skills may have minimal understanding of medical terms. If a medical term is used, it should be clearly explained. *Table 5* lists resources for improving communication in health care settings.

Speak Slowly and Break Down Information into Small, Manageable Steps. Complex instructions are more challenging to understand, remember, and follow. Information or instructions should be simplified into individual steps or units and should be concrete and specific.^{10,16,30} For example, instead of telling a patient to eat a healthier diet, a physician can offer specific suggestions, such as telling the patient to eat five servings of vegetables a day and teaching about the plate-size method.³⁹ Limiting the focus of each clinical encounter to about three key messages increases comprehension of both low- and highliteracy patients.^{30,36,37}

Table 4. Strategies for Promotir	g Health Literacy	y in Clinical Practice (continued)
----------------------------------	-------------------	------------------------------------

Component	Strategies	Tools
-		
Patient self- management and empowerment	 Encourage patient participation; for example, ask "What questions do you have?" rather than "Do you have any questions?" Encourage patients to bring a list of 2 or 3 questions to appointments Assess understanding of medications and adherence Ask patients how they remember to take their medications Write precise instructions for taking a medication; for example, give specific times instead of using vague instructions such as twice daily Provide written or typed medication lists Ensure medication review and/or reconciliation for all patients at all encounters Offer different methods for medication organization, such as pillboxes Create an action plan, outlining steps the patient can take to attain a health goal Ask for patient feedback 	Ask me 3: Encourage patients to know 3 things before leaving the encounter: What is my main problem? What do I need to do? Why is it important for me to do this? Example: "Before you leave today, I want you to tell me the main problem we talked about, what you need to do next, and why it is important for you to do what we planned." "Brown bag" review of medications: Ask patients to bring in all of their medications and supplements to appointments so that you can verify what they are taking, answer their questions, identify any errors or interactions, and assist with adherence
Supportive systems and caring environments	Link patients to nonmedical support Link patients to health and literacy resources in the community Train all staff on health literacy awareness and communication principles Limit paperwork and redundant forms Use simple forms with clear language Routinely offer help with forms Provide clear referral instructions, and review them with patients	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit: http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/quality- patient-safety/quality-resources/tools/ literacy-toolkit/index.html

Confirm Patient Understanding. Patients rarely disclose whether they comprehend the information presented to them. One way to assure that patients have clearly understood the information is to use the teach-back method. This entails the patients explaining the new information in their own words, allowing the clinician to assess for comprehension. The teach-back method should be framed to assess the effectiveness of the clinician's communication rather than to test the patient's learning skills. This method has been shown to increase glycemic control in patients with diabetes mellitus³⁶ and improve comprehension of the informed consent process.⁴⁰ Although the teach-back method may improve patient understanding, it has not been shown to affect 30-day rehospitalization rates.⁴¹

PRINTED COMMUNICATION

Written materials should be used to reinforce verbal communication. A Cochrane review demonstrated that verbal and written information increases patient satisfaction and knowledge compared with verbal information alone.⁴² Written materials should be at or below a fifth- to sixth-grade reading level. Easy-to-use tools

to evaluate the reading level of written materials are available at http://www.readabilityformulas.com/freereadability-formula-tests.php.

Written materials should be limited to key points, avoiding unnecessary detail. Visual aids, such as pictures, drawings, or graphs, can enhance patient understanding,^{43,44} particularly when communicating risks and probabilities.⁴⁵

Numerical Data

Quantitative or numerical data have a prominent role in health care discussions and decisions. These data include statistics about the benefits and risks of preventive behaviors, medications, and procedures, as well as disease risk and prognosis. Many physicians presume that the use of numbers will empower patients to make informed decisions and adopt healthier behaviors. However, many Americans have low numeracy skills, or have difficulty understanding or processing numbers.⁴⁶ A study of patients in an asthma clinic found that two-thirds of all patients (not just those with limited health literacy) did not understand what 1% meant.⁴⁷ Furthermore, the way in which numerical data are presented influences how

Table 5. Resources to Support Health Literacy in Clinical Practice

Accessible Health Information Technology (IT) for Populations with Limited Literacy: A Guide for Developers and Purchasers of Health IT; AHRQ http://healthit.ahrq.gov/sites/default/files/docs/page/LiteracyGuide_0.pdf
Clear & Simple: Developing Effective Print Materials for Low-Literate Readers. Bethesda, Md.: National Cancer Institute; 1994
Health Literacy Program; American Medical Association http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/ama-foundation/our- programs/public-health/health-literacy-program.page?
Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit; AHRQ http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/quality-patient-safety/quality-resources/ tools/literacy-toolkit/index.html
Plain Language Thesaurus for Health Communications http://www.plainlanguage.gov/populartopics/health_literacy/index.cfm
Project Re-Engineered Discharge (RED) Training Program; AHRQ http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/systems/hospital/red/index.html
Toolkit for Making Written Material Clear and Effective; Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services https://www.cms.gov/WrittenMaterialsToolkit/
Universal Medication Schedule White Paper; National Council for Prescription Drug Programs http://www.ncpdp.org/Education/Whitepaper?page=2

ARHQ = Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality.

Table 6. Strategies to Address Limited Numeracy

Strategy	Example
Express probabilities in terms of natural frequencies rather than percentages	"1 out of 20 people (instead of 5% of people) get colorectal cancer in their lifetime."
Provide absolute risks rather than relative risk; this is particularly important when risk reduction is small	"At your age and with your family history, the chance of developing this kind of cancer is about 2 out of 1,000, instead of 1 out of 1,000 for people without your family history," rather than saying that the risk "doubles" or increases by 100%
Avoid using only positive (gain) or negative (loss) risk framing, and instead use both	"Pressure from the camera that passes through the whole wall of the colon is very rare. This happens in less than 1 out of 1,000 people. In other words, it doesn't happen in 999 people."
Keep time spans at about 10 years if possible, rather than talking about lifetime risk	To a 60-year-old man: "1 or 2 out of 10 men your age will get colorectal cancer in the next 10 years."

Information from references 3, 8, 10, 16, 30, 46, 49, and 50.

patients understand and act on information.48 Although the most effective ways to communicate numerical data are unclear, several suggested approaches to improving patients' comprehension of health-related numbers are reviewed in *Table 6*.^{3,8,10,16,30,46,49,50}

Data Sources: A PubMed search was completed in Clinical Queries using the key terms health literacy, numeracy, interventions, and assessment. The search included meta-analyses, randomized controlled trials,

clinical trials, and reviews. We limited our search to articles written in English. We also searched the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Evidence Reports, National Guideline Clearinghouse, Medline, and Google Scholar. There were no specific date parameters. Search date: November 11, 2014.

The Authors

LAUREN HERSH, MD, is an instructor in the Department of Family and Community Medicine at Thomas Jefferson University in Philadelphia, Pa.

BROOKE SALZMAN, MD, is an associate professor in the Department of Family and Community Medicine at Thomas Jefferson University.

DANIELLE SNYDERMAN, MD, is an assistant professor in the Department of Family and Community Medicine at Thomas Jefferson University.

Address correspondence to Lauren Hersh, MD, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, 833 Chestnut St., Ste. 301, Philadelphia, PA 19107 (e-mail: lauren.hersh@jefferson. edu). Reprints are not available from the authors.

REFERENCES

- 1. Institute of Medicine. Health Literacy: A Prescription to End Confusion. Washington D.C.: National Academies Press; 2004.
- 2. Kutner MA. The Health Literacy of America's Adults: Results from the 2003 National Assessment of Adult Literacy. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics; 2006.
- 3. Berkman ND, Sheridan SL, Donahue KE, Halpern DJ, Crotty K. Low health literacy and health outcomes: an updated systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2011; 155(2):97-107.
- 4. Wallace LS, Lennon ES. American Academy of Family Physicians patient education materials: can patients read them? Fam Med. 2004;36(8):571-574.
- 5. Kelly PA, Haidet P. Physician overestimation of patient literacy: a potential source of health care disparities. Patient Educ Couns. 2007;66(1):119-122.
- 6. Rogers ES, Wallace LS, Weiss BD. Misperceptions of medical understanding in low-literacy patients: implications for cancer prevention. Cancer Control. 2006; 13(3):225-229.
- 7. Engel KG, Heisler M, Smith DM, Robinson CH, Forman JH, Ubel PA. Patient comprehension of emergency department care and instructions: are patients aware of when they do not understand? Ann Emerg Med. 2009;53(4):454-461.e15.
- 8. Sheridan SL, Halpern DJ, Viera AJ, Berkman ND, Donahue KE, Crotty K. Interventions for individuals with low health literacy: a systematic review. J Health Commun. 2011;16(suppl 3):30-54.
- 9. Baker DW, Gazmararian JA, Sudano J, Patterson M. The association between age and health literacy among elderly persons. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci. 2000;55(6):S368-S374.
- 10. Weiss BD; American Medical Association. Health Literacy and Patient Safety: Help Patients Understand. Chicago, Ill.: American Medical Association Foundation: 2007.

Health Literacy

- Pagán JA, Brown CJ, Asch DA, Armstrong K, Bastida E, Guerra C. Health literacy and breast cancer screening among Mexican American women in South Texas. J Cancer Educ. 2012;27(1):132-137.
- Peterson NB, Dwyer KA, Mulvaney SA, Dietrich MS, Rothman RL. The influence of health literacy on colorectal cancer screening knowledge, beliefs and behavior. J Natl Med Assoc. 2007;99(10):1105-1112.
- Howard DH, Gazmararian J, Parker RM. The impact of low health literacy on the medical costs of Medicare managed care enrollees [published correction appears in *Am J Med.* 2005;118(8):933]. *Am J Med.* 2005; 118(4):371-377.
- Cho YI, Lee SY, Arozullah AM, Crittenden KS. Effects of health literacy on health status and health service utilization amongst the elderly. Soc Sci Med. 2008;66(8):1809-1816.
- Sudore RL, Mehta KM, Simonsick EM, et al. Limited literacy in older people and disparities in health and healthcare access. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2006;54(5):770-776.
- 16. Sudore RL, Schillinger D. Interventions to improve care for patients with limited health literacy. *J Clin Outcomes Manag.* 2009;16(1):20-29.
- Baker DW, Wolf MS, Feinglass J, et al. Health literacy and mortality among elderly persons. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167(14):1503-1509.
- Scott TL, Gazmararian JA, Williams MV, Baker DW. Health literacy and preventive health care use among Medicare enrollees in a managed care organization. *Med Care*. 2002;40(5):395-404.
- 19. Pignone M, DeWalt DA, Sheridan S, Berkman N, Lohr KN. Interventions to improve health outcomes for patients with low literacy. A systematic review. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2005;20(2):185-192.
- Baker DW, Gazmararian JA, Williams MV, et al. Functional health literacy and the risk of hospital admission among Medicare managed care enrollees. Am J Public Health. 2002;92(8):1278-1283.
- Williams MV, Baker DW, Parker RM, Nurss JR. Relationship of functional health literacy to patients' knowledge of their chronic disease. A study of patients with hypertension and diabetes. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998; 158(2):166-172.
- Bennett IM, Chen J, Soroui JS, White S. The contribution of health literacy to disparities in self-rated health status and preventive health behaviors in older adults. *Ann Fam Med.* 2009;7(3):204-211.
- 23. Morris NS, MacLean CD, Chew LD, Littenberg B. The Single Item Literacy Screener: evaluation of a brief instrument to identify limited reading ability. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2006;7:21.
- 24. Weiss BD, Mays MZ, Martz W, et al. Quick assessment of literacy in primary care: the newest vital sign [published correction appears in *Ann Fam Med*. 2006;4(1):83]. *Ann Fam Med*. 2005;3(6):514-522.
- Parker RM, Baker DW, Williams MV, Nurss JR. The test of functional health literacy in adults: a new instrument for measuring patients' literacy skills. J Gen Intern Med. 1995;10(10):537-541.
- Baker DW, Williams MV, Parker RM, Gazmararian JA, Nurss J. Development of a brief test to measure functional health literacy. *Patient Educ Couns.* 1999;38(1):33-42.
- Farrell TW, Chandran R, Gramling R. Understanding the role of shame in the clinical assessment of health literacy. *Fam Med.* 2008;40(4):235-236.
- Ryan JG, Leguen F, Weiss BD, et al. Will patients agree to have their literacy skills assessed in clinical practice? *Health Educ Res.* 2008;23(4): 603-611.
- 29. Paasche-Orlow MK, Wolf MS. Evidence does not support clinical screening of literacy. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2008;23(1):100-102.
- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit. http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/qualitypatient-safety/quality-resources/tools/literacy-toolkit/index.html. Accessed March 3, 2015.

- 31. Weiss BD. How to bridge the health literacy gap. Fam Pract Manag. 2014;21(1):14-18.
- 32. Tae JW, Lee JC, Hong SJ, et al. Impact of patient education with cartoon visual aids on the quality of bowel preparation for colonoscopy. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2012;76(4):804-811.
- Parnell TA, McCulloch EC, Mieres JH, Edwards F. Health literacy as an essential component to achieving excellent patient outcomes. Discussion paper. January 28, 2014. Institute of Medicine. http://iom.edu/ Global/Perspectives/2014/EssentialComponent. Accessed March 3, 2015.
- 34. Crane JA. Patient comprehension of doctor-patient communication on discharge from the emergency department. *J Emerg Med.* 1997;15(1):1-7.
- McCarthy DM, Waite KR, Curtis LM, Engel KG, Baker DW, Wolf MS. What did the doctor say? Health literacy and recall of medical instructions. *Med Care*. 2012;50(4):277-282.
- Schillinger D, Piette J, Grumbach K, et al. Closing the loop: physician communication with diabetic patients who have low health literacy. *Arch Intern Med.* 2003;163(1):83-90.
- Schillinger D, Bindman A, Wang F, Stewart A, Piette J. Functional health literacy and the quality of physician-patient communication among diabetes patients. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2004;52(3):315-323.
- 38. Williams N, Ogden J. The impact of matching the patient's vocabulary: a randomized control trial. *Fam Pract*. 2004;21(6):630-635.
- 39. Raidl M, Spain K, Lanting R, et al. The healthy diabetes plate. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2007;4(1):A12.
- Kripalani S, Bengtzen R, Henderson LE, Jacobson TA. Clinical research in low-literacy populations: using teach-back to assess comprehension of informed consent and privacy information. *IRB*. 2008;30(2):13-19.
- White M, Garbez R, Carroll M, Brinker E, Howie-Esquivel J. Is "teachback" associated with knowledge retention and hospital readmission in hospitalized heart failure patients? *J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2013; 28(2):137-146.
- Johnson A, Sandford J, Tyndall J. Written and verbal information versus verbal information only for patients being discharged from acute hospital settings to home. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2003;4(4):CD003716.
- Garcia-Retamero R, Galesic M. Who profits from visual aids: overcoming challenges in people's understanding of risks [published correction appears in Soc Sci Med. 2010;70(12):2097]. Soc Sci Med. 2010;70(7):1019-1025.
- 44. Garcia-Retamero R, Cokely ET. Communicating health risks with visual aids. *Curr Dir Psychol Sci.* 2013;22(5):392-399.
- 45. Hawley ST, Zikmund-Fisher B, Ubel P, Jancovic A, Lucas T, Fagerlin A. The impact of the format of graphical presentation on health-related knowledge and treatment choices. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2008;73(3):448-455.
- Lipkus IM, Peters E. Understanding the role of numeracy in health: proposed theoretical framework and practical insights. *Health Educ Behav.* 2009;36(6):1065-1081.
- 47. Apter AJ, Cheng J, Small D, et al. Asthma numeracy skill and health literacy. J Asthma. 2006;43(9):705-710.
- Sparks L, Nussbaum JF. Health literacy and cancer communication with older adults. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2008;71(3):345-350.
- 49. Ko CW, Dominitz JA. Complications of colonoscopy: magnitude and management. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am.* 2010;20(4):659-671.
- 50. National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program. SEER cancer statistics review 1975-2011. http://seer.cancer. gov/csr/1975_2011/browse_csr.php?sectionSEL=6&pageSEL=s ect_06_table.19.html. Accessed March 3, 2015.