### Early Pregnancy Loss Decision-Making Module for Advanced Practice Providers

OHNS HOPKINS SCHOOL of NURSING

No

N (%)

1 (3.4)

11 (37.9)

10 (34.5)

17 (58.6)

Yes

N (%)

16 (55.2)

15 (51.7)

11 (37.9)

10 (34.5)

Julie Jenkins, MSN, APRN, WHNP-BC; Brigit VanGraafeiland, DNP, CRNP, CNE, FAAN; Laura Riker, MSSW

### Intro & Background

More than one million pregnant people will experience a first trimester early pregnancy loss (EPL) in the United States annually<sup>1,2</sup>. In office management of EPL, without a transfer of care,

has the potential to streamline the process and decrease costs for both the patient and the health care system at large<sup>3,4</sup>.

#### **Purpose & Aims**

This quality improvement project's purpose was to familiarize healthcare providers on the appropriate use of evidence-based management options for early pregnancy loss.

The project aims were to (a) increase provider's knowledge of early pregnancy loss and

management options, (b) evaluate provider's intention to provide early pregnancy loss services, and (c) evaluate the workshop efficacy.

### Translational Framework

The strength of Graham's Knowledge-to-Action model (KTA)5 for this intervention was the fit of the model's seven step design.



## Methods

Design: Non-experimental, correlational design with unmatched groups assessed before and after an educational workshop.

Sample: 134 pretest and 29 post-test healthcare providers attending an Early Pregnancy Loss workshop. Intervention: 1-hour workshop, "Early Pregnancy Loss Office Evaluation & Management' delivered via Zoom

Measures: (a) 3-item, multiple choice knowledge survey

on Early Pregnancy Loss Management, (b) 4-question survey on intentions to provide pregnancy loss options, and (c) 4-item workshop evaluation survey.

Analysis: Descriptive statistics, Independent t-test. Aim I & 3 Results

# Aim 1. Pre-test participants

correctly scored 45.3% vs. 60.71% in post-test participants, and was statistically significant,  $t_{(df=151)} = 2.25, p < .05$  representing

learning needs.

a 34.02% change in scores.

45.3 % CORRECT

■ Pretest Post test

**Aim 3.** Participants rated the presentation as 'excellent' (n = 16, 66.7%), followed by 'good' (n = 6, 29.2%), and

FIG. 1. KNOWLEDGE

SCORES

'fair' (n = 1, 4.2%). All 29 participants (100%) agreed or strongly agreed that the presentation was balanced and evidence-based and that the presentation met their

Aim 2 Results

Aim 2. At post-test, a greater proportion of participants

reported they intended to personally provide expectant

management, mifepristone and misoprostol, and misoprostol

Table I. Intention to Provide Results

Ouestion Already Provide N (%)

Expectant Management 12 (41.4)

Mifepristone & misoprostol 3 (10.3) 8 (27.6)

only medication management to patients compared to those

who would not provide those services. See Table 1.

2 (6.9)

### **Conclusion & Discussion**

Given the prevalence of Early Pregnancy Loss, providers have a responsibility to their patients to be aware of the various EPL management options available. This project found statistically significant increases in knowledge for participants after the workshop, as well as providing useful information on

#### the impact of the workshop for intention to provide EPL care. References

Misoprostol only

Uterine Aspiration

Education in the Health Professions, 26(1), pp.13-24.

ACOG. 2018. Improving Access to Mifenistone for Reproductive Health Indications. [online] Available at: <a href="https://www.acog.org/cinical-information/policy-and-sition-statements/pos CDC.gov. 2012. National viral statistics reports, volume 60, number 7, (06/20/2012) [PDF]. [online] Available at: <a href="https://www.ede.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr60/nvsr60\_07.pdf">https://www.ede.gov/nchs/data/nvsr/nvsr60/nvsr60\_07.pdf</a> [Accessed 24 April 2022]. 3. Srinivasolu, S., Rier, L., Makkenoko, L. and Breithart, V., 2020. Evaluation of the Miscarriage Care Initiative: A Program to Integrate Comprehensive Early Pregnancy Lois Management in Primary Care Settings. Family Medicine, 52(10), pp. 707-715.

4. Srinivasulu, S., Yavani, R., Bruhaleer, L., Riber, L., Prine, L. and Rubin, S., 2021. US clinicians' perspectives on how milepristone regulations affect access to medication abortion and early pregnancy loss care in primary care. Contraception, 104(1), pp.92-97.

5. Graham, L., Logan, J., Harrison, M., Straus, S., Tetroe, J., Caowell, W. and Robinson, N., 2006. Lost in knowledge translation: Time for a map?. Journal of Continuing