

SUZANNE M. COWPERTHWAITE, MSN, RN, NEA-BC AND SHARON KOZACHIK, PHD, RN, FAAN JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF NURSING, BALTIMORE, MD

Background/Significance

- 50% of persons with cancer report pain
- 80 % of those with advanced disease report moderate to severe pain
- Inpatient Solid Tumor Unit Pain Satisfaction **HCAHPS Composite Top Box Score = 57.7%**



Evidence Summary

Intervention Type \rightarrow	Staff-Focused Interventions									Patient-Focused Interventions					Multi-Pronged Interventions						
Evidence Level \implies			II				I	II		V	Ι	I	I	III		II	[III		V	
First Author 🕳	Alaloul	deRond	Dulko	Drake	Jarrett	Keen	Brant	Craig	Innis	Sterman	Yildrium	Kim	Lim	Bozimowski	Zoëga	Goldberg	Muntlin	Sherwood	Comley	Glowacki	Trail-Mahan
Improved Pain Intensity Scores				✓						<	✓				<		<				
Improved Pain Interference Scores			✓																		
Improved Satisfaction with Pain Management	>		✓	✓	<		>		✓	<	✓	<	>	✓			<	K		<	<
Improved Communication		<																			
Improved Pain Score Congruence		<														~					
Improved Pain Assessment or Documentation		<		✓					<												
Improved Patient Satisfaction with Communication		<		✓																	
Improved Nurse Pain Knowledge and Attitudes Scores					✓				✓												
Staff Influence on Patient Satisfaction								✓											✓		

Project Aims

Determine the effect of a Pain Stoppers bundled intervention on:

- 1. HCAHPS pain satisfaction scores
- 2. Actual pain intensity scores
- 3. RNs' Knowledge and Attitudes on Pain (KAP) Survey scores

Methods

<u>Design:</u> Pre-post intervention QI project Pre-intervention = 05/01 - 07/31/2017Post-intervention = 09/01 - 11/30/2017

<u>Setting:</u> NCI-designated Comprehensive Cancer Center

Participants: HCAHPS respondents requiring pain medication

Solid tumor inpatients with pain intensity score ≥ 1 Permanent, direct-care RNs

Procedure: Inservices, written materials, e-mail communication (including addressing 5 low-scoring KAP questions), pre- packaged patient education materials, Immediate reporting of high pain scores, visual aids

Communication, Caring Behaviors and Timely Responses	Patient Education	Maintain Analgesic Levels			
Clinical Technicians Immediately Report Pain Scores ≥ 5	Utilize a Variety of Patient Education Materials	Work With the Team to Optimize Analgesic Plan			
Utilize Caring Language with Patients	Address Myths and Misconceptions	Encourage Around-The-Clock Dosing of Analgesics			
Prepare in Advance for Pain Discussions	Provide Continuous and Individualized Patient Education	Consider Maintaining Analgesic Dosing Overnight			
Ask Probing Questions About Pain	Provide Pre-packaged Educational Materials to Every Patient	Carefully Manage Parenteral to Oral Analgesic Transitions			
Maintain a Positive Attitude					
Validate Patients' Pain					
Provide Timely Responses to Patients' Requests					
Discuss and Set Expectations with Patients					
Utilize Tools to Facilitate					

Evidence-Based Interventions

Improving the Pain Experience for Cancer Inpatients: A Quality Improvement Project

Pain Stoppers Visual Reminder



Team approach Oral transition Probing questions Prepare for pain discussion



Patient Education Packet



Measures/Instruments

HCAHPS surveys

- Random sample
- Press Ganey
- Two pain management-related questions
- Pain intensity
- EHR
- Likert scale (0 10)
- Knowledge and Attitudes on Pain survey
- Email invitations
- Qualtrics

Statistical Analysis

SPSS 25 (IBM)

- HCAHPS surveys
 - Fisher's Exact Test
- Pain intensity
 - Independent samples t-test
- Knowledge and Attitudes on Pain survey Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test
 - Fisher's Exact Test (individual questions)
- Demographic data
 - Chi-square
 - Fisher's Exact Test
 - Independent samples t-test

- post-intervention
- 12.3) post-intervention • No statistically significant differences between the groups

	Pe
100%	
90%	
80%	
70%	
60%	
50%	
40%	
30%	
20%	
10%	
0%	

Pain Intensity Data Retrieved from the EHR								
Documented Scores	Pre-Intervention (N = 173) Mean (SD)	Post-Intervention (N = 157) Mean (SD)	p-value					
First	3.14 (3.18)	3.23 (3.31)	0.81					
Last	1.79 (2.48)	2.0 (2.67)	0.73					
Lowest	0.13 (0.7)	0.17 (0.99)	0.46					
Highest	6.66 (2.61)	6.98 (2.61)	0.27					

RN KAP Scores: Two Questions (Fisher's Exact Test)

Registered Nurses' Knowledge and Attitudes on Pain (KAP) Scores								
KAP Scores	Pre-Intervention $(N = 11)$	Post-Intervention $(N = 9)$	<u>p-value</u>					
Question 5 (% correct)	36.4%	88.9%	0.025					
Question 16 (% correct)	45.5%	88.9%	0.058					

Patient Demographic Data

• No HCAHPS demographic data available from Press Ganey

Data Extracted from Electronic Health Record

• Pre-intervention N = 173

• Post-intervention N = 157

• No statistically significant differences in age, sex, race, length of hospital stay, or having received radiation therapy within the past 30 days • Post intervention group: less likely to have received chemotherapy within the past 30 days at 65% compared to 76.3% $(x^2(1) = 5.12, p = .024)$ • Fewer post-intervention patients admitted for chemotherapy administration: 8.3%, compared to 15% $(x^2(1) = 3.6, p = .058)$

RN Demographic Data

• Pre-intervention N = 11 (31% response rate)

Post-intervention N = 9 (25% response rate)

• 5 RNs completed both surveys

• 100% BSN in both groups

• Attendance at End of Life (ELNEC) training: 18.2% pre-intervention and 0%

Mean years RN experience: 16.7 (SD = 11.8) pre-intervention and 9.4 (SD =

HCAHPS Pain Satisfaction Scores

Percent of Patients Answering "Always" to How Often Did Staff Do Everything to Help With Your Pain?



Patient Pain Intensity Scores (t-test)







Discussion

HCAHPS top box pain scores improved following implementation of the Pain Stoppers intervention

Composite pain score: Pre-intervention = 57.7 % Post-intervention = 73.7 % **exceeds goal of 70%**

- Results achieved with post-intervention group having higher mean actual pain intensity scores
- Post-intervention group may have had more advanced disease
- KAP scores improved on two questions that were addressed in follow-up education

Limitations

- Small sample size HCAHPS groups
- RN groups
- Pre-post intervention design
- Generalizability
- Altered KAP survey
- Early implementation

Conclusions

Supports findings of previous studies

- It is possible to improve pain experience without impacting actual pain intensity scores
- Main aim of study realized
 - HCAHPS "How often did staff do everything to help with your pain?" improved from 61.5% to 90% answering "always" postintervention
- Nurses can improve cancer patients' pain experience using:
 - Enhanced communication, caring behaviors, timely responses • Appropriate patient education materials
 - Strategies to maintain analgesic levels